

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/587,323	07/26/2006	Janet Boggs	PC3-317	3472	
21567 WELLS ST. 10	.567 7590 12/18/2008 VELLS ST. JOHN P.S.		EXAMINER		
601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201)	MRUK, I	MRUK, BRIAN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/18/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/587.323 BOGGS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Brian P. Mruk 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)\(\times\) Claim(s) 1-4.7-13.16.17.20-23.26.27.30-55.64.65.74.75.83 and 84 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-4, 7-13, 16, 17, 20-23, 26, 27, 30-55, 64, 65, 74, 75, 83 and 84 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paner No(s)/Mail Pate.____ Notice of Draftsparson's Fatent Drawing Review (PTO-948). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/587,323 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 1) For independent claim 1, the following species exist for the variable R_f:
 - A) R_f is a -CH₂- group (claim 2)
 - B) R_f is a cyclic group (claim 3)
 - C) R_f is an aromatic group (claim 4)
 - D) R_f is at least two (CF₃)₂CF- groups (claim 7)
 - E) R_f is at least 4 carbons and one of the 4 carbons is a $-CH_{2^-}$ group (claim 8)
 - F) R_f is R_f-Q_m (claim 9)
- 1A) Furthermore, if species F) (claim 9) is elected for the variable R_{t} in independent claim 1, the following sub-species exist:
 - A) $R_{f^{\text{-}}}Q_{m}$ is the structure represented in claims 10 and 11 $\,$
 - B) R_f-Q_m is the structure represented in claims 12 and 13
 - C) R_f-Q_m is the structure represented in claim 16
 - D) R_f-Q_m is the structure represented in claim 17

Application/Control Number: 10/587,323 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

E) R_f-Q_m is the structure represented in claim 20

F) R_f-Q_m is the structure represented in claim 21

G) R_f-Q_m is the structure represented in claim 22

H) R_f-Q_m is the structure represented in claim 23

I) R_f-Q_m is the structure represented in claim 26

J) R_f-Q_m is the structure represented in claim 27

2) For independent claim 30, the following species exist for the variable Rf:

A) R_f is R_f-Q_{mu} (claim 31)

B) R_f is a -CH₂- group (claim 32)

C) R_f is a cyclic group (claim 33)

D) R_f is an aromatic group (claim 34)

E) R_f is at least two (CF₃)₂CF- groups (claim 35)

 For independent claim 45, the following species exist for the one or more group:

- A) metal complex
- B) phosphate ester
- C) glycol
- D) urethane

Application/Control Number: 10/587,323 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

4) For independent claim 45, the following species exist for the variable R_f:

- A) R_f is R_f-Q_{mc} (claim 46)
- B) R_f is a -CH₂- group (claim 47)
- C) R_f is a cyclic group (claim 48)
- D) R_f is an aromatic group (claim 49)
- E) R_f is at least one (CF₃)₂CF- group (claims 50 and 52)
- F) R_f is at least three -CF₃ groups (claim 51)
- G) R_f is at least 4 carbons and one of the 4 carbons is a $-CH_{2^-}$ group (claim 53)
- 2. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. The following claim(s) are generic: Claims 1, 30 and 45 are generic.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/587,323

Art Unit: 1796

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Prior art that would anticipate or render obvious one species would not necessarily anticipate or render obvious the other species.

 A telephone call was made to Robert Hyta on December 17, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim.

Art Offit. 1730

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian P. Mruk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1321. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (7:00 AM-5:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian P Mruk/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Brian P Mruk December 17, 2008 Brian P Mruk Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796 Application/Control Number: 10/587,323

Page 7

Art Unit: 1796